{"id": 4222, "annotations": [{"result": [{"id": "cb48e88496694fc0bc2d356d64296436", "value": {"start": 0, "end": 853, "text": "PETITIONER:\nSARVESHWAR PRASAD SHARMA\n\n Vs.\n\nRESPONDENT:\nSTATE OF MADHYA PRADESH\n\nDATE OF JUDGMENT26/09/1977\n\nBENCH:\nGOSWAMI, P.K.\nBENCH:\nGOSWAMI, P.K.\nSINGH, JASWANT\n\nCITATION:\n\n1977 AIR 2423 1978 SCR (1) 560\n (193)\n1977 SCC (4) 596\n\nCITATOR INFO :\n\nRF 1979 SC 916\n\nACT:\nSentence--Sentence of death, special reasons as required\nunder s. 354(3) of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act If of\n1974), 1973 recorded by the Sessions and the High Court-\nInterference by the Supreme Court under Art. 136 only in\nspecial cases.\n\nHEADNOTE:\nThe appellant, a qualified medical practitioner, was\nconvicted for nine gruesome, murders of his friend, the\nlatter's wife, aged parents, two sons and three daughters of\nthe age of 16, 13, 8, 5 and 3 respectively, entirely on\ncircumstantial evidence and was sentenced to death.", "labels": ["PREAMBLE"]}}, {"id": "232c331902fb490ab1e0162ad1dcff30", "value": {"start": 854, "end": 942, "text": "The\nentire family was exterminated due to greed for cash,\nornaments and other valuables.", "labels": ["PREAMBLE"]}}, {"id": "fdf04fa99c0e4b8bb9eeba5bc4c80468", "value": {"start": 943, "end": 1042, "text": "The Sessions and the High\nCourt gave convincing and special reasons for passing the\ndeath sentence.", "labels": ["PREAMBLE"]}}, {"id": "fc7290da4e294b398b9f3ded665955ba", "value": {"start": 1042, "end": 1172, "text": "\nDismissing the special leave which is limited to the\nquestion of sentence, the Court,\nHELD : Law directs the course of the court.", "labels": ["PREAMBLE"]}}, {"id": "c7a2d37d1366423ea284e247166eda5c", "value": {"start": 1173, "end": 1431, "text": "After enactment\nof the Criminal Procedure Code in 1973 (Act 2 of 1974), the\njudgment in a murder case \"shall state the special reasons\"\nfor a sentence of death, only in special cases with\nrecording of reasons so that these may be examined by\nsuperior courts.", "labels": ["PREAMBLE"]}}, {"id": "915ec2de35a64d69b21100ba086e5073", "value": {"start": 1432, "end": 1608, "text": "None of the guidelines indicated by this\ncourt in several decisions in this is problem area of life\nand death as a result of judicial verdict can be cut and dry\nnor exhaustive.", "labels": ["PREAMBLE"]}}, {"id": "1dcab4be3af1431aa8a79c7fc6dae831", "value": {"start": 1609, "end": 1707, "text": "Each case will depend upon the totality of\nthe facts and circumstances and other matters revealed.", "labels": ["PREAMBLE"]}}, {"id": "74b3fce746764dd59d358417063391f4", "value": {"start": 1708, "end": 1865, "text": "In\nthe instant case the horrid enormity of the crime with a\ndeliberate motive of wrongful gain cannot be minimised when\nconsidering the appropriate sentence.", "labels": ["PREAMBLE"]}}, {"id": "bc1274a9db5142038d2d2c01370dd8a6", "value": {"start": 1866, "end": 2091, "text": "The special reasons\nmandated under the law are duly recorded by both the High\nCourt and the Trial Court and those are adequate to justify\nthe sentence of death in these cruet and diabolical murders\n[561 E-F, 562 F]\n\nJUDGMENT:", "labels": ["PREAMBLE"]}}, {"id": "6db56dcf7eda47109a22224bc8a89299", "value": {"start": 2091, "end": 2317, "text": "\n CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION : Criminal Appeal No. 342 of 1971, Appeal by Special Leave from the Judgment and Order dated 13-4-77 of the Madhya Pradesh High Court in Criminal Appeal No. 37/77 and Death Reference No. 1/77.", "labels": ["NONE"]}}, {"id": "52e884438cc34b06833a4176a7454f56", "value": {"start": 2317, "end": 2369, "text": "\nMohan Behari Lal (amicus curiae) for the Appellant.", "labels": ["NONE"]}}, {"id": "fd37c7569e43474b9ad126d4855d7c55", "value": {"start": 2369, "end": 2402, "text": "\nI. N. Shroff for the Respondent.", "labels": ["NONE"]}}, {"id": "402bd48dff534d1a9e7d9e3498355e98", "value": {"start": 2402, "end": 2457, "text": "\nThe Judgment of the Court was delivered by GOSWAMI, J.", "labels": ["NONE"]}}, {"id": "36f38098996a4fe1afd9e46166fc6b32", "value": {"start": 2458, "end": 2618, "text": "We have granted special leave in this case limited to the question of sentence and heard the learned counsel, appearing as amicus curiae and also for the State.", "labels": ["NONE"]}}, {"id": "c4acd7351d114ae182fd58cf3e6fada3", "value": {"start": 2618, "end": 2713, "text": "\nThese are gruesome murders wiping out all entire family of nine persons including two infants.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "c9b7549ef31c4f90b643c42ca94c02c7", "value": {"start": 2715, "end": 2970, "text": "The accused (31), Bachelor of Ayurvedic Medicine and Surgery (B.A.M.S.), a qualified medical practitioner, was a close friend of one of the deceased, Ram Swaroop (40), who was an Upper Division Clerk in the Madhya Pradesh Girls N.\nC. Battalion at Gwalior.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "fc1a1c9ddf8f49b78ff270c423e67f00", "value": {"start": 2971, "end": 3032, "text": "Theaccused lived only about a furlong away from the deceased.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "5a5d62cf622448a694a1a4fba19c7246", "value": {"start": 3032, "end": 3095, "text": "\nDeceased Ram Swaroop used to practice Homeopathy as his hobby.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "3fef6f8474f049eca4a0aca905b8c901", "value": {"start": 3096, "end": 3192, "text": "There was thus a certain degree of common interest between the accused and deceased Ram Swaroop.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "ae01ab8ca3e2477481d1aee49839f476", "value": {"start": 3192, "end": 3333, "text": "\nRam Swaroop had his parents, aged 60 years, his wife (35), their two sons and three daughters of the age of 16, 13, 8, 5 and 3 respectively.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "cf699496c9c541f6b041f7a0092e7400", "value": {"start": 3334, "end": 3388, "text": "As stated earlier, the entire family was exterminated.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "d648f63ec5d84076b448993407552f55", "value": {"start": 3389, "end": 3460, "text": "Cash, ornaments and other valuables were also removed at the same time.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "60ed0a3922e94ca88303a60fce602f4d", "value": {"start": 3461, "end": 3602, "text": "Murder was committed on the night of 4th July, 1976 and the dead bodies were locked up inside the room and the house was locked from outside.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "3413f83726334224a093b8ce933a8745", "value": {"start": 3603, "end": 3689, "text": "On 6th July foul. smell was emitted from the closed house and the police was informed.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "1f05678cb8b4485a962abb02f4564860", "value": {"start": 3690, "end": 3770, "text": "The house was broken open by the police and the nine dead bodies were recovered.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "2b2c24dbbdd549a8ad4cdd336a715ac3", "value": {"start": 3770, "end": 3922, "text": "\nThere is no direct evidence as to who actually committed the crimp, or even whether there was more than one person taking part in this dastardly crime.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "f7f9862b646f4ac68eba8658a8df821f", "value": {"start": 3923, "end": 4030, "text": "The accused stood convicted entirely on circumstantial evidence and his conviction is, now beyond question.", "labels": ["FAC"]}}, {"id": "c1f6390c136a4daebcb4a6da2b978160", "value": {"start": 4030, "end": 4103, "text": "\nWe have heard learned counsel of both sides on the question of sentence.", "labels": ["NONE"]}}, {"id": "96b0a05d1064451384d68cbdd2d93bbf", "value": {"start": 4104, "end": 4270, "text": "The recent benign direction of the penal law is towards life sentence, as a rule, and death as an exception awarding of which must be accompanied by recorded reasons.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "a6b59ffdc0774e72bfc5524646e0da39", "value": {"start": 4270, "end": 4462, "text": "\nThis Court has in several decisions indicated guidelines in this problem area of life and death as a result of judicial verdict but none of these guidelines can be cut and dry nor exhaustive.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "51e6eeaf41484eef9b066600b78038e0", "value": {"start": 4463, "end": 4558, "text": "Each case will depend upon the totality of the facts, circumstances and other matters revealed.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "f34eb6bc443b41b58bad2693e9e1ece2", "value": {"start": 4559, "end": 4595, "text": "Law directs the course of the court.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "795deb7e0c364660a9a149dd6a9ed1bb", "value": {"start": 4596, "end": 4757, "text": "After, enactment of the Criminal Procedure Code in 1973 (Act 2 of 1974), the judgment in a murder case \"shall state the special reasons\"\nfor a sentence of death.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "acd73ff703574920a75943f67c991761", "value": {"start": 4758, "end": 4903, "text": "That is to say, there will be sentence of death only in special cases with recording of reasons so that these may be examined by superior courts.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "dbaa1ec7bcc74d4194a4945c71f75708", "value": {"start": 4903, "end": 5087, "text": "\nThe trial court dealing with the question of sentence observed as follows : - \"Even beasts do not show unfaithfulness but this case is a shining example of the heinous unfaithfulness.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "32e47934c06340df8c0e6aea166b3ea6", "value": {"start": 5088, "end": 5445, "text": "Firstly to commit nine murders and that to(, of small children committed by inflicting more than one injury which is sufficient in the ordinary course of nature and therefore gravity of the offence has surpassed its last limit due to which it would be proper to say that the acts of accused are not only beastly but ghastly injoined with extreme greediness.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "565629c35cd641beb37ab9b7b4e35023", "value": {"start": 5446, "end": 5638, "text": "There being 12-930SCI/77 total lack of extenuating circumstances the accused deserves to be punished with extreme penalty without hesitation\".\n ", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "500f313779cd407dbe1edbbfcef6b491", "value": {"start": 5638, "end": 5781, "text": "The High Court dealing with the same question made the following observation :- \"The accused was a trusted friend of the deceased Rain Swaroop.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "12462d0946c047e3b0518b4da466345c", "value": {"start": 5782, "end": 6060, "text": "But, for achievement of Ms vicious object to relieve him of his cash and valuables he not only killed Ram Swaroop but also exterminated his whole family including his aged parents, his wife and five children two of whom were infants aged five years and three years respectively.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "4ced479a99eb45e4ad556cc8b10aa2c6", "value": {"start": 6061, "end": 6211, "text": "He com- mitted these blood-chilling murders of the nine innocent persons for monetary gain and to destroy. the evidence of the crime he had committed.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "dbeb31309f7b40499a8d63e689369695", "value": {"start": 6212, "end": 6304, "text": "It is difficult to find words strong enough to condemn these gruesome and dastardly murders.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "f50bed7d2ada4335908cc5a3de2c1f65", "value": {"start": 6305, "end": 6510, "text": "Ironically the accused chose not to spare even the two infant daughters of Ram Swaroop who dearly used to address him as `Dr. Chacha' and were incapable of giving evidence even if they had been left alive.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "7b8615db71b849e1b85c1f6a2af9367d", "value": {"start": 6511, "end": 6540, "text": "The tragedy has few parallels", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "6e761d5660214922808ffb3188ba3271", "value": {"start": 6541, "end": 6592, "text": "The accused was neither demented nor mentally sick.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "bef1f7e70969450b90ac626897b7a57f", "value": {"start": 6593, "end": 6673, "text": "There are absolutely no extenuating circumstances for passing a lesser sentence.", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "c9eb7268f45f4d5ba7bda689a83f639f", "value": {"start": 6674, "end": 6777, "text": "On the other hand, the case, in our opinion, is eminently fit for imposing the extreme penalty of law\".", "labels": ["ANALYSIS"]}}, {"id": "eb5683df462a4e85871951fd034be05f", "value": {"start": 6777, "end": 6957, "text": "\nIt is submitted that the accused was financially in straits with wife and two small children and this should be taken into consideration to merit clemency for the lesser sentence.", "labels": ["RATIO"]}}, {"id": "18b0bce2a0a1454aa55a966de67211d3", "value": {"start": 6958, "end": 7165, "text": "These grounds had also been urged earlier before the trial court, but the horrid enormity of the crime with a deliberate motive of wrongful gain cannot be minimised when considering the appropriate sentence.", "labels": ["RATIO"]}}, {"id": "dfb67433c0fd44f1bb8317b794e30a69", "value": {"start": 7166, "end": 7271, "text": "We agree with the courts below that there are no extenuating circumstances to justify the lesser penalty.", "labels": ["RATIO"]}}, {"id": "d9643ab9534f45a8a036fb0953516fd5", "value": {"start": 7272, "end": 7509, "text": "The special reasons mandated under the law are duly recorded by both the High Court and the trial court and we are not in a position to say that those are inadequate to justify the sentence of death in these cruel and diabolical murders.", "labels": ["RATIO"]}}, {"id": "8d9220d93132465d8f6084ca32b1fca2", "value": {"start": 7510, "end": 7546, "text": "The appeal is accordingly dismissed.", "labels": ["RPC"]}}, {"id": "cd0677e406344d2ca122b17a255bde93", "value": {"start": 7546, "end": 7569, "text": "\nS.R.\nAppeal dismissed.", "labels": ["NONE"]}}]}], "data": {"text": "PETITIONER:\nSARVESHWAR PRASAD SHARMA\n\n Vs.\n\nRESPONDENT:\nSTATE OF MADHYA PRADESH\n\nDATE OF JUDGMENT26/09/1977\n\nBENCH:\nGOSWAMI, P.K.\nBENCH:\nGOSWAMI, P.K.\nSINGH, JASWANT\n\nCITATION:\n\n1977 AIR 2423 1978 SCR (1) 560\n (193)\n1977 SCC (4) 596\n\nCITATOR INFO :\n\nRF 1979 SC 916\n\nACT:\nSentence--Sentence of death, special reasons as required\nunder s. 354(3) of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act If of\n1974), 1973 recorded by the Sessions and the High Court-\nInterference by the Supreme Court under Art. 136 only in\nspecial cases.\n\nHEADNOTE:\nThe appellant, a qualified medical practitioner, was\nconvicted for nine gruesome, murders of his friend, the\nlatter's wife, aged parents, two sons and three daughters of\nthe age of 16, 13, 8, 5 and 3 respectively, entirely on\ncircumstantial evidence and was sentenced to death. The\nentire family was exterminated due to greed for cash,\nornaments and other valuables. The Sessions and the High\nCourt gave convincing and special reasons for passing the\ndeath sentence.\nDismissing the special leave which is limited to the\nquestion of sentence, the Court,\nHELD : Law directs the course of the court. After enactment\nof the Criminal Procedure Code in 1973 (Act 2 of 1974), the\njudgment in a murder case \"shall state the special reasons\"\nfor a sentence of death, only in special cases with\nrecording of reasons so that these may be examined by\nsuperior courts. None of the guidelines indicated by this\ncourt in several decisions in this is problem area of life\nand death as a result of judicial verdict can be cut and dry\nnor exhaustive. Each case will depend upon the totality of\nthe facts and circumstances and other matters revealed. In\nthe instant case the horrid enormity of the crime with a\ndeliberate motive of wrongful gain cannot be minimised when\nconsidering the appropriate sentence. The special reasons\nmandated under the law are duly recorded by both the High\nCourt and the Trial Court and those are adequate to justify\nthe sentence of death in these cruet and diabolical murders\n[561 E-F, 562 F]\n\nJUDGMENT:\n CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION : Criminal Appeal No. 342 of 1971, Appeal by Special Leave from the Judgment and Order dated 13-4-77 of the Madhya Pradesh High Court in Criminal Appeal No. 37/77 and Death Reference No. 1/77.\nMohan Behari Lal (amicus curiae) for the Appellant.\nI. N. Shroff for the Respondent.\nThe Judgment of the Court was delivered by GOSWAMI, J. We have granted special leave in this case limited to the question of sentence and heard the learned counsel, appearing as amicus curiae and also for the State.\nThese are gruesome murders wiping out all entire family of nine persons including two infants.\n The accused (31), Bachelor of Ayurvedic Medicine and Surgery (B.A.M.S.), a qualified medical practitioner, was a close friend of one of the deceased, Ram Swaroop (40), who was an Upper Division Clerk in the Madhya Pradesh Girls N.\nC. Battalion at Gwalior. Theaccused lived only about a furlong away from the deceased.\nDeceased Ram Swaroop used to practice Homeopathy as his hobby. There was thus a certain degree of common interest between the accused and deceased Ram Swaroop.\nRam Swaroop had his parents, aged 60 years, his wife (35), their two sons and three daughters of the age of 16, 13, 8, 5 and 3 respectively. As stated earlier, the entire family was exterminated. Cash, ornaments and other valuables were also removed at the same time.\nMurder was committed on the night of 4th July, 1976 and the dead bodies were locked up inside the room and the house was locked from outside. On 6th July foul. smell was emitted from the closed house and the police was informed. The house was broken open by the police and the nine dead bodies were recovered.\nThere is no direct evidence as to who actually committed the crimp, or even whether there was more than one person taking part in this dastardly crime. The accused stood convicted entirely on circumstantial evidence and his conviction is, now beyond question.\nWe have heard learned counsel of both sides on the question of sentence. The recent benign direction of the penal law is towards life sentence, as a rule, and death as an exception awarding of which must be accompanied by recorded reasons.\nThis Court has in several decisions indicated guidelines in this problem area of life and death as a result of judicial verdict but none of these guidelines can be cut and dry nor exhaustive. Each case will depend upon the totality of the facts, circumstances and other matters revealed.\nLaw directs the course of the court. After, enactment of the Criminal Procedure Code in 1973 (Act 2 of 1974), the judgment in a murder case \"shall state the special reasons\"\nfor a sentence of death. That is to say, there will be sentence of death only in special cases with recording of reasons so that these may be examined by superior courts.\nThe trial court dealing with the question of sentence observed as follows : - \"Even beasts do not show unfaithfulness but this case is a shining example of the heinous unfaithfulness. Firstly to commit nine murders and that to(, of small children committed by inflicting more than one injury which is sufficient in the ordinary course of nature and therefore gravity of the offence has surpassed its last limit due to which it would be proper to say that the acts of accused are not only beastly but ghastly injoined with extreme greediness. There being 12-930SCI/77 total lack of extenuating circumstances the accused deserves to be punished with extreme penalty without hesitation\".\n The High Court dealing with the same question made the following observation :- \"The accused was a trusted friend of the deceased Rain Swaroop. But, for achievement of Ms vicious object to relieve him of his cash and valuables he not only killed Ram Swaroop but also exterminated his whole family including his aged parents, his wife and five children two of whom were infants aged five years and three years respectively. He com- mitted these blood-chilling murders of the nine innocent persons for monetary gain and to destroy. the evidence of the crime he had committed. It is difficult to find words strong enough to condemn these gruesome and dastardly murders. Ironically the accused chose not to spare even the two infant daughters of Ram Swaroop who dearly used to address him as `Dr. Chacha' and were incapable of giving evidence even if they had been left alive. The tragedy has few parallels The accused was neither demented nor mentally sick. There are absolutely no extenuating circumstances for passing a lesser sentence. On the other hand, the case, in our opinion, is eminently fit for imposing the extreme penalty of law\".\nIt is submitted that the accused was financially in straits with wife and two small children and this should be taken into consideration to merit clemency for the lesser sentence. These grounds had also been urged earlier before the trial court, but the horrid enormity of the crime with a deliberate motive of wrongful gain cannot be minimised when considering the appropriate sentence. We agree with the courts below that there are no extenuating circumstances to justify the lesser penalty. The special reasons mandated under the law are duly recorded by both the High Court and the trial court and we are not in a position to say that those are inadequate to justify the sentence of death in these cruel and diabolical murders. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.\nS.R.\nAppeal dismissed."}, "meta": {"group": "Criminal"}},